CM

Croat Med J. 2015;56:290-6 doi: 10.3325/cmj.2015.56.290

# Intraoperative volume restriction in esophageal cancer surgery: an exploratory randomized clinical trial

**Aim** To investigate whether the fluid volume administered during esophageal cancer surgery affects pulmonary gas exchange and tissue perfusion.

**Methods** An exploratory single-center randomized clinical trial was performed. Patients with esophageal cancer who underwent Lewis-Tanner procedure between June 2011 and August 2012 at the Department of Thoracic surgery "Jordanovac", Zagreb were analyzed. Patients were randomized (1:1) to receive a restrictive volume of intraoperative fluid ( $\leq 8$  mL/kg/h) or a liberal volume (> 8 mL/kg/h). Changes in oxygen partial pressure ( $Pao_2$ ), inspired oxygen fraction ( $FiO_2$ ), creatinine, and lactate were measured during and after surgery.

**Results** Overall 16 patients were randomized and they all were analyzed (restrictive group n=8, liberal group n=8). The baseline value Pao<sub>3</sub>/FiO<sub>3</sub> ratio (restrictive) was  $345.01 \pm 35.31$  and the value six hours after extubation was 315.51 ± 32.91; the baseline Pao<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> ratio (liberal) was  $330.11 \pm 34.71$  and the value six hours after extubation was  $307.11 \pm 30.31$ . The baseline creatinine value (restrictive) was  $91.91 \pm 12.67$  and the value six hours after extubation was 100.88 ± 18.33; the baseline creatinine value (liberal) was 90.88 ± 14.99 and the value six hours after extubation was  $93.51 \pm 16.37$ . The baseline lactate value (restrictive) was  $3.93 \pm 1.33$  and the value six hours after extubation was  $2.69 \pm 0.91$ . The baseline lactate value (liberal) was  $3.26 \pm 1.25$  and the value six hours after extubation was  $2.40 \pm 1.08$ . The two groups showed no significant differences in Pao<sub>x</sub>/FiO<sub>x</sub> ratio (P=0.410), creatinine (P=0.410), or lactate (P = 0.574).

**Conclusions** Restriction of intraoperative applied volume does not significantly affect pulmonary exchange function or tissue perfusion in patients undergoing surgical treatment for esophageal cancer.

Trial registration number: Clinical Trials NCT 02033213.

# Maja Karaman Ilić<sup>1</sup>, Goran Madžarac<sup>2</sup>, Jana Kogler<sup>1</sup>, Dinko Stančić-Rokotov<sup>2</sup>, Nevenka Hodoba<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Anesthesiology University Hospital Centre Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia

<sup>2</sup>Department of Thoracic Surgery "Jordanovac," University Hospital Centre Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia

Received: September 16, 2014

# Accepted: June 1, 2015 Correspondence to:

Maja Karaman Ilić University Hospital Centre Zagreb Department of Anesthesiology Jordanovac 104 10000 Zagreb, Croatia majakilic1@gmail.com Pulmonary complications remain a primary cause of morbidity after esophageal cancer surgery. Complications range from atelectasis and pneumonia to acute lung injury and acute respiratory distress syndrome; the risk of these complications is determined largely by preoperative pulmonary status and surgical approach (1). Another factor that can influence the risk of postoperative respiratory complications is the volume of fluid administered intraoperatively (2,3). Such fluid administration is a routine procedure during lung and esophageal surgery (4).

The optimal type and volume of fluid are controversial issues and have not been standardized in international guidelines (5). Several studies suggest that restrictive intraoperative fluid resuscitation during open abdominal surgeries is superior to an aggressive or "liberal" fluid protocol, because it is associated with fewer postoperative complications and shorter discharge time (6-8). On the other hand, restrictive fluid management can lead to hypovolemia and impaired tissue perfusion, which can cause organ dysfunction, particularly postoperative acute kidney injury (9).

In esophageal surgery, fluid management is a special concern because one-lung ventilation (OLV), which is an integral part of anesthesia, can cause postoperative pulmonary edema (10-13). When conventional ventilation is reestablished after surgery, reexpansion of the deflated lung can induce oxidative stress that leads to edema (12-15). In this way, OLV may aggravate the postoperative effects of perioperative pulmonary fluid overload (16). The aim of this exploratory trial was to compare the effects of restrictive and liberal fluid resuscitation protocol on pulmonary gas exchange and tissue perfusion.

# PATIENTS AND METHODS

This randomized controlled single-center open-label trial was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Clinic for Pulmonary Diseases "Jordanovac," Zagreb, Croatia. All patients gave written informed consent before enrollment.

## **Patients**

37 patients were scheduled for esophageal cancer surgery at the Department of Thoracic surgery "Jordanovac," University Hospital Centre Zagreb between June 2011 and August 2012. Patients were prospectively enrolled in the study. Exclusion criteria were age younger than 18 years; severe lung disease, chronic renal insufficiency, or a physical status classification>III on the American Society of An-

esthesiologists (ASA) scale (17); or impossibility to perform epidural catheter placement or thoraco-phreno-laparotomy. 16 patients met the criteria. Block randomization of patients, block size of 4, was used to allocate participants into two groups. Allocation concealment was ensured by sequentially numbered, opaque, sealed envelopes.

# Intervention

Esophagectomy in all patients was carried out according to the Lewis-Tanner approach (18). This consisted of an initial laparotomy and gastric tube construction, followed by right thoracotomy to excise the tumor and create an esophagogastric anastomosis. All patients received OLV during the thoracic part of the surgery. All patients were administered 5 mg of diazepam by intramuscular injection 30 min prior to surgery and received preoperative antibiotic and antithrombotic prophylaxis.

All patients underwent the same anesthesia protocol that consisted of a combination of epidural analgesia and general anesthesia. One day before surgery, they received an epidural catheter at level Th4-Th6. General anesthesia was induced by intravenous administration of midazolam (0.07 mg/kg), followed 5 min later by propofol (0.5 mg/kg), fentanyl (2 µg/kg), and rocuronium (0.6 mg/kg). Anesthesia was maintained with inhalation of sevofluran (0.8%) in an oxygen/air mixture. The inspired oxygen fraction (FiO<sub>2</sub>) was titrated to maintain arterial oxygen partial pressure (Pao<sub>x</sub>) above 85 mm Hg. Fentanyl was administered when clinically required, while rocuronium was administered according to the train-of-four ratio. Pressure-controlled ventilation was used and adjusted to achieve a tidal volume of 6-8 mL/kg and an arterial carbon dioxide partial pressure of 35-45 mm Hg. When hemodynamics permitted, a positive end expiratory pressure (PEEP) of 5 cmH<sub>3</sub>O was applied.

A pulmonary artery catheter (PAC) was placed through the right subclavian vein. Data on invasive artery pressure, Pao<sub>2</sub>, and levels of creatinine and lactate were obtained through a cannula inserted in the right radial artery. The functional preload parameters obtained from the PAC, which normally serve as our hemodynamic gold standard, were not used intra-operatively because of the catheter's unreliability when used in the lateral decubital position during openchest procedures.

During the surgery, one group of patients received ≤8 mL/kg/h of intraoperative fluid ("restrictive group") and the other received >8 mL/kg/h of intraopera-

**292** CLINICAL SCIENCE Croat Med J. 2015;56:290-6

tive fluid ("liberal group"). The primary crystalloid used was Plasma-Lyte 148 (pH 7.4; Viaflo, Baxter, Deerfield, IL, US). All patients were administered 10% Aminoven (Fresenius Kabi AG, Bad Homburg, Germany) at 0.5 mL/kg/h. A bolus of 5 mL/kg of colloid (6% Voluven 130/0.4, Fresenius Kabi AG) was administered in order to maintain mean arterial pressure (MAP) above 60 mm Hg. Packed red blood cells were supplied when hematocrit was ≤0.27 L/L. The difference in the amount of intraoperatively administered fluids pertained on the supplementation of crystalloids.

During surgery, a mixture of sufentanyl (50  $\mu$ g) and 0.5% chirocaine (10 mL) in a total volume of 50 mL of saline was administered at 5-10 mL/h through the epidural catheter. All patients were intubated on the left side with a Robertshaw double lumen tube (Teleflex Medical, Ireland), the position of which was adjusted using a fiber-optic bronchoscope.

Data on Pao<sub>2</sub>, FiO<sub>2</sub>, and the ratio Pao<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> were collected 10 min after anesthesia was induced, 30 min after OLV was begun, and 6 h after extubation. The first measurement was considered baseline, while measurement taken at 6 h after extubation was considered a dependent variable. Baseline measurement for metabolic markers, creatinine and lactate, were performed 10 min after anesthesia induction. Second measurement was performed 6 h after extubation.

# Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA), 13.0 software package. Since the Kolmog-

orov-Smirnov test showed normal distribution data, results are reported as mean $\pm$ standard deviation. ANOVA tests were performed to test the differences between the study groups. Independent-sample t tests were used to test the differences within the groups for each of the two sets of measurements separately (10 minutes after anesthesia induction and 6 hours after surgery). P < 0.05 was considered significant.

# **RESULTS**

There were no significant differences between the groups in duration of surgery, duration of OLV, number of patients who received noradrenalin intraoperatively, and type and amount of fluids administered intraoperatively (Table 1).

In both groups  $Pao_2/FiO_2$  ratio was significantly higher at the baseline than 6 h post extubation (restrictive group t=1.46, df=7, P=0.189; liberal group t=2.03, df=7, P=0.010). Although there were differences within the groups, there were no differences between the groups (ANOVA complex ANOVA:  $F_{1.14}=0.72$ , P=0.410) (Table 2.)

In addition to monitoring gas exchange, we also monitored creatinine level as an indicator of renal perfusion and lactate level as an indicator of overall tissue perfusion (Tables 3-4). These levels were measured 10 minutes after anesthesia induction and 6 hours after surgery. There was no significant difference between the intra- and postoperative levels of creatinine either in restrictive (t=0.33, df=7, P=0.749) or liberal group (t=1.09, df=7, P=0.310). There was also no significant difference in creatinine levels be-

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} TABLE~1. Characteristics of patients and selected intraoperative data (mean \pm standard deviation) on esophageal cancer surgery for patients subjected to restrictive or liberal fluid management \\ \begin{tabular}{ll} TABLE~1. Characteristics of patients and selected intraoperative data (mean \pm standard deviation) on esophageal cancer surgery for patients subjected to restrictive or liberal fluid management \\ \begin{tabular}{ll} TABLE~1. Characteristics of patients and selected intraoperative data (mean \pm standard deviation) on esophageal cancer surgery for patients subjected to restrictive or liberal fluid management \\ \begin{tabular}{ll} TABLE~1. Characteristics of patients and selected intraoperative data (mean \pm standard deviation) on esophageal cancer surgery for patients subjected to restrictive or liberal fluid management \\ \begin{tabular}{ll} TABLE~1. Characteristics of patients and selected intraoperative data (mean \pm standard deviation) on esophageal cancer surgery for patients subjected to restrictive or liberal fluid management \\ \begin{tabular}{ll} TABLE~1. Characteristics of patients and selected intraoperative data (mean \pm standard deviation) on esophageal cancer subjected in the selected intraoperative data (mean \pm standard deviation) on esophageal cancer subjected in the selected intraoperative data (mean \pm standard deviation) on esophageal cancer subjected in the selected intraoperative data (mean \pm standard deviation) on esophageal cancer subjected in the selected intraoperative data (mean \pm standard deviation) on esophageal cancer subjected in the selected intraoperative data (mean \pm standard deviation) on esophageal cancer subjected in the selected intraoperative data (mean \pm standard deviation) on esophageal cancer subjected in the selected intraoperative data (mean \pm standard deviation) on esophageal cancer subjected in the selected intraoperative data (mean \pm standard deviation) on esophageal cancer subjected in the selected intraoperative data (mean \pm standard deviat$ 

|                                                           | All patients      | Restrictive group  | Liberal group    |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Patients' characteristics                                 | (n = 16)          | (n=8)              | (n=8)            |
| Age, yr                                                   | $53.12 \pm 1.81$  | $53.91 \pm 8.31$   | 52.41 ± 13.41    |
| Sex, F/M                                                  | 6/10              | 2/6                | 4/4              |
| American Society of Anesthesiologists classification (17) | $2.71 \pm 0.48$   | $2.61 \pm 0.51$    | $2.75 \pm 0.46$  |
| Body weight, kg                                           | 68.11 ± 17.61     | 70.01 ± 18.91      | 66.31 ± 12.61    |
| Duration of surgery, min                                  | 300.01 ± 104.21   | 275.61 ± 91.21     | 324.41 ± 116.61  |
| Duration of one-lung ventilation, min                     | 158.41 ± 82.91    | $143.81 \pm 68.41$ | 173.11 ± 97.61   |
| Patients receiving noradrenaline, n                       | 9                 | 4                  | 5                |
| Crystalloid administered, mL/kg/h                         | 6.91 ± 2.61       | $4.81 \pm 1.31$    | $9.05 \pm 1.81$  |
| Colloid administered, mL/kg/h                             | $1.07 \pm 0.51$   | 1.12 ± 0.61        | $1.02 \pm 0.37$  |
| 10% Aminoven, mL/kg/h                                     | 0.5               | 0.5                | 0.5              |
| 10% Aminoven, total, mL                                   | 199.06 ± 68.14    | 212.51 ± 81.61     | 185.61 ± 53.71   |
| Packed red blood cells, mL                                | $0.44 \pm 0.41$   | $0.44 \pm 0.41$    | $0.44 \pm 0.38$  |
| Total volume, mL                                          | 3391.88 ± 1022.89 | 2823.75 ± 965.84   | 3960.00 ± 755.95 |
| Intraoperative volume, mL/kg/h                            | $8.92 \pm 2.64$   | 6.76 ± 1.21        | 11.08 ± 1.31     |



tween the groups at either time point (complex ANOVA:  $F_{1.14}$ =0.72, P=0.410) (Table 3).

Lactate levels behaved slightly different from creatinine levels. The postoperative levels decreased in the liberal group but not significantly (t=1.96, df=7, P=0.096), while in the restrictive group the decrease was significant (t=2.72, df=7, P=0.030; Table 4). There was also no significant difference in lactate levels between the groups at either time point (complex ANOVA:  $F_{1.14}$ =0.33, P=0.574; Table 4).

#### DISCUSSION

High incidence of postoperative pulmonary edema after esophagectomy (19-22), coupled with reports that OLV can cause pulmonary edema (16,19), led us to examine whether a less aggressive intraoperative fluid approach had an effect on pulmonary gas exchange and tissue perfusion and thus, on the incidence of pulmonary postoperative complications. The planned research time was one year during which time approximately 30-40 esophageal cancer surgeries are performed in our hospital. This fact alone makes us the largest medical center in Croatia that performs esophageal cancer surgery. From 37 patients scheduled for surgery in this period, only 16 patients met the study criteria. Our findings, on this limited sample, suggest that restrictive and liberal fluid management are as-

sociated with similar pulmonary gas exchange and tissue perfusion. Therefore, reducing the risk of postoperative pulmonary complications may require some modifications to surgical technique.

We tested two protocols for intraoperative fluid management, restrictive and liberal, with the cut-off defined as 8 mL/kg/h. The amount or type of fluids administered intraoperatively are not standardized. We used the cut-off based primarily based on the experience from our hospital for avoiding intraoperative hypovolemic episodes. The actual rates of fluid administration in our study ranged from 5.0 to 13.6 mL/kg/h, corresponding to 1750-3270 mL administered to the restrictive group and 2500-4840 mL to the liberal group. Previous studies report a range from 4 to 20 mL/kg/h, with total volumes of 1408-2740 mL administered to restrictive groups and 2750-5388 mL to liberal groups (6,23-33).

We focused on the Pao<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> ratio as a key indicator of pulmonary gas exchange. Introducing OLV caused the ratio to fall dramatically and reach a minimum by 30 min. Our observation that OLV reduces pulmonary gas exchange is consistent with previous reports (10-15).

Hypoxic pulmonary vasoconstriction in the non-ventilated lung is believed to be the most important variable deter-

TABLE 2. Pulmonary gas exchange (Pao<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> ratio, mmHg) before and after esophageal cancer surgery using restrictive or liberal fluid management

| Time point                        | Restrictive group $(mean \pm standard deviation)$ | Liberal group<br>(mean±standard deviation) | Difference | P value* |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|------------|----------|
| 10 min after anesthesia induction | 345.01 ± 35.31                                    | $330.11 \pm 34.71$                         | 14.88      | 0.410    |
| 6 h after surgery                 | 315.51 ± 32.91                                    | 307.11 ± 30.31                             | 8.00       | 0.621    |
| *ANOVA.                           |                                                   |                                            |            |          |

TABLE 3. Creatinine (µmol/L) as tissue perfusion indicator during esophageal cancer surgery using restrictive or liberal fluid management

|                                   | Restrictive group               | Liberal group                   |            |          |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|----------|
| Time point                        | $(mean \pm standard deviation)$ | $(mean \pm standard deviation)$ | Difference | P value* |
| 10 min after anesthesia induction | 91.91 ± 12.67                   | 90.88 ± 14.99                   | 1.87       | 0.791    |
| 6 h after surgery                 | 93.51 ± 16.37                   | $100.88 \pm 18.33$              | 7.38       | 0.410    |
| *ANOVA.                           |                                 |                                 |            |          |

TABLE 4. Lactate (mmol/L) as tissue perfusion indicator during esophageal cancer surgery using restrictive or liberal fluid management

| Time point                        | Restrictive group | Liberal group   | Difference | P value† |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------|----------|
| 10 min after anesthesia induction | $3.93 \pm 1.33$   | 3.26 ± 1.25     | 0.66       | 0.322    |
| 6 h after surgery                 | $2.69 \pm 0.91$   | $2.40 \pm 1.08$ | 0.29       | 0.574    |
| P values*                         | 0.030             | 0.096           |            |          |

<sup>\*</sup>t test for independent samples. †ANOVA.

**294** CLINICAL SCIENCE Croat Med J. 2015;56:290-6

mining Pao<sub>2</sub> during one-lung anesthesia (34). Hypoxic pulmonary vasoconstriction is inhibited by a wide variety of physical disturbances and by essentially all volatile anesthetics. During surgery in the lateral position, gravity will usually ameliorate the decrease in oxygenation due to one-lung anesthesia. A third of the shunt during OLV occurs due ventilation perfusion mismatch in the ventilated dependent lung (35). In regard to these facts, and with an aim to accurately interpret the influence of intraoperatively infused volume, measurements obtained during OLV were not compared with the baseline results.

After OLV and surgery, the Pao<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> ratio in both groups returned to nearly preoperative levels within 6 hours. This finding suggests that fluid restriction does not significantly affect gas exchange and that possible pulmonary fluid overload in the liberal fluid management group does not pose a serious risk.

Though the postoperative  $Pao_2/FiO_2$  ratio remained above 300 mm Hg in both groups, the final value was moderately lower than the one measured 10 minutes after induction. Although it was not statistically significant, this decline in  $Pao_2/FiO_2$  may reflect a combined deleterious effect of the surgical procedure and OLV on pulmonary function. Still the observed trend did not depend on the fluid management approach.

We measured creatinine levels intraoperatively and after surgery as an indicator of renal perfusion in order to examine whether the fluid management protocol affects the occurrence of renal injury. Restrictive fluid management can produce hypovolemia, which can impair tissue perfusion and lead to organ dysfunction, particularly postoperative acute kidney injury (9). Acute kidney injury, which is associated with 60%-90% mortality (36-38), can be detected as elevated levels of serum creatinine (9). We found no significant differences either between pre- and postoperative values within each group or between the groups. In all cases, creatinine levels were within the reference range. These findings suggest that our restrictive intraoperative fluid approach did not compromise renal function.

We also measured lactate levels as an indicator of overall tissue perfusion. Many studies have confirmed the relationship between tissue hypoxia and lactate generation (39-41). Increases in lactate levels indicate tissue hypoxia due to hypoperfusion. The restrictive and liberal fluid management groups showed similar levels of lactate at both time points, and the levels in the restrictive

group decreased significantly from before to after surgery. These findings suggest that restrictive intraoperative fluid administration did not adversely affect tissue perfusion.

A major limitation of this study is the limited number of patients. In Croatia, approximately 50 surgeries of esophageal cancer are performed annually, and 80%-90% are done in our University Hospital Centre. Patients with esophageal cancer are mainly older than 65 with many comorbidities, thus many of them cannot be included in this type of studies. This randomized trial with a small sample of patients suggests that the particular protocol used for intraoperative fluid management does not significantly influence pulmonary gas exchange or tissue perfusion in patients undergoing esophageal cancer surgery. These findings should be further confirmed in randomized trials involving larger numbers of patients as well as patients undergoing other types of open-abdomen surgery.

#### Funding None.

**Ethical approval** received from the Ethics Committee of the Clinic for Pulmonary Diseases "Jordanovac", Zagreb, Croatia.

**Declaration of authorship** MKI was responsible for study design, data collection, statistical analysis, interpretation, and writing of the manuscript. GM participated in data collection and interpretation of the results, and approved the final version of the manuscript. JK made substantial contributions to the conception and design, data collection, drafting of the manuscript, and approved the final version of the manuscript. NH participated in design and supervision of the research. DSR contributed to study design and results analysis.

Competing interests All authors have completed the Unified Competing Interest form at <a href="www.icmje.org/coi\_disclosure.pdf">www.icmje.org/coi\_disclosure.pdf</a> (available on request from the corresponding author) and declare: no support from any organization for the submitted work; no financial relationships with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous 3 years; no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

### References

- Ferguson MK, Celauro AD, Prachand V. Prediction of major complications after esophagectomy. Ann Thorac Surg. 2011;91:1494-500. Medline:21524462 doi:10.1016/j. athoracsur.2010.12.036
- 2 Casado D, Lopez F, Marti R. Perioperative fluid management and major respiratory complications in patients undergoing esophagectomy. Dis Esophagus. 2010;23:523-8. Medline:20459444 doi:10.1111/j.1442-2050.2010.01057.x
- Licker M, de Perrot M, Spiliopoulos A, Robert J, Diaper J, Chevalley C, et al. Risk factor for acute lung injury after thoracic surgery for lung cancer. Anesth Analg. 2003;97:1558-65. Medline:14633519 doi:10.1213/01.ANE.0000087799.85495.8A
- 4 Zhang J, Qiao H, He Z, Wang Y, Che X, Liang W. Intraoperative fluid management in open gastro-intestinal surgery: goaldirected versus restrictive. Clinics (Sao Paulo). 2012;67:1149-55.
  Medline:23070341 doi:10.6061/clinics/2012(10)06



- 5 Holte K, Kehlet H. Fluid therapy and surgical outcomes in elective surgery: A need for reassessment in fast-track surgery. J Am Coll Surg. 2006;202:971-89. Medline:16735213 doi:10.1016/j. jamcollsurg.2006.01.003
- 6 Brandstrup B, Třnnesen H, Beier-Holgersen R, Hjortsř E, Řrding H, Lindorff-Larsen K, et al. Effects of intravenous fluid restriction on postoperative complications: comparison of two perioperative fluid regimens: a randomized assessor-blinded multicenter trial. Ann Surg. 2003;238:641-8. Medline:14578723 doi:10.1097/01. sla.000094387.50865.23
- 7 Khuri SF, Henderson WG, DePalma RG, Mosca C, Healey NA, Kumbhani DJ. Determinants of long-term survival after major surgery and the adverse effect of postoperative complications. Ann Surg. 2005;242:326-41. Medline:16135919
- 8 MacKay G, Fearon K, McConnachie A, Serpell MG, Molloy RG, O'Dwyer PJ. Randomized clinical trial of the effect of postoperative intravenous fluid restriction on recovery after elective colorectal surgery. Br J Surg. 2006;93:1469-74. Medline:17078116 doi:10.1002/bjs.5593
- 9 Assaad S, Popescu W, Perrino A. Fluid management in thoracic surgery. Curr Opin Anaesthesiol. 2013;26:31-9. Medline:23262471 doi:10.1097/ACO.0b013e32835c5cf5
- Lohser J. Evidence-based management of one-lung ventilation.
   Anesthesiol Clin. 2008;26:241-72. Medline:18456211 doi:10.1016/j. anclin.2008.01.011
- Haas S, Kiefmann R, Eichhorn V, Goetz AE, Reuter DA.
  Hemodynamic monitoring in one-lung ventilation. Anaesthesist.
  2009;58:1085-96. Medline:19915882 doi:10.1007/s00101-009-1632-v
- 12 Cheong KF. Re-expansion pulmonary oedema following one-lung ventilation – a case report. AAMS. 1999;28:572-3. Medline:10561774
- 13 Asao Y, Kobayashi M, Tsubaki N, Kobayashi O, Uehara K. A case of re-expansion pulmonary edema after one lung ventilation for the radical operation of lung cancer. Masui. 2003;52:154-7. Medline:12649871
- 14 Cheng YJ, Chan KC, Chien CT, Sun WZ, Lin CJ. Oxidative stress during 1-lung ventilation. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2006;132:513-8. Medline:16935103 doi:10.1016/j.jtcvs.2006.03.060
- Sugasawa Y, Yamaguchi K, Kumakura S, Murakami T, Kugimiya T, Suzuki K, et al. The effect of one-lung ventilation upon pulmonary inflammatory responses during lung resection. J Anesth. 2011;25:170-7. Medline:21301891 doi:10.1007/s00540-011-1100-0
- 16 Haas S, Eichhorn V, Hasbach T, Trepte C, Kutup A, Goetz AE, et al. Goal-directed fluid therapy using stroke volume variation does not result in pulmonary fluid overload in thoracic surgery requiring one-lung ventilation. Crit Care Res Pract. 2012;2012:687018. Medline:22778929
- 17 American Society of Anesthesiologists. New classification of physical status. Anesthesiol. 1963;24:111.

- 18 Ong GB, Kwong KH. The Lewis-Tanner operation for cancer of the oesophagus. J R Coll Surg Edinb. 1969;14:3-19. Medline:5791261
- 19 Miserocchi G, Negrini D, Passi A, De Luca G. Development of lung edema: interstitial fluid dynamics and molecular structure. News Physiol Sci. 2001;16:66-71. Medline:11390951
- 20 Downs CA, Kriener LH, Yu L, Eaton DC, Jain L, Helms MN. Beta– adrenergic differentially regulate highly selective and nonselective epithelial sodium channels to promote alveolar fluid clearance in vivo. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol. 2012;302:L1167-78. Medline:22505670 doi:10.1152/ajplung.00038.2012
- 21 Ware LB, Fremont RD, Bastarache JA, Calfee CS, Matthay MA.
  Determining the etiology of pulmonary oedema by the oedema fluid-to-plasma protein ratio. Eur Respir J. 2010;35:331-7.
  Medline:19741024 doi:10.1183/09031936.00098709
- 22 Tarbell JM. Shear stress and the endothelial transport barrier. Cardiovasc Res. 2010;87:320-30. Medline:20543206 doi:10.1093/ cvr/cvg146
- 23 Holte K, Sharrock NE, Kehlet H. Pathophysiology and clinical implications of perioperative fluid excess. Br J Anaesth. 2002;89:622-32. Medline:12393365 doi:10.1093/bja/aef220
- 24 Holte K, Kehlet H. Compensatory fluid administration for preoperative dehydration: Does it improve outcome? Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. 2002;46:1089-93. Medline:12366503 doi:10.1034/j.1399-6576.2002.460906.x
- Nisanevich V, Felsenstein I, Almogy G, Weissman C, Einav S, Matot I. Effect of intraoperative fluid management on outcome after intraabdominal surgery. Anesthesiology. 2005;103:25-32. Medline:15983453 doi:10.1097/00000542-200507000-00008
- 26 National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS) Clinical Trials Network; Wiedemann HP, Wheeler AP, Bernard GR, Thompson BT, Hayden D, et al. Comparison of two fluid-management strategies in acute lung injury. N Engl J Med. 2006;354:2564-75. Medline:16714767 doi:10.1056/NEJMoa062200
- 27 Jacob M, Chappell D, Rehm M. Clinical update: Perioperative fluid management. Lancet. 2007;369:1984-6. Medline:17574081 doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(07)60926-X
- 28 MacKay G, Fearon K, McConnachie A, Serpell MG, Molloy RG, O'Dwyer PJ. Randomized clinical trial of the effect of postoperative intravenous fluid restriction on recovery after elective colorectal surgery. Br J Surg. 2006;93:1469-74. Medline:17078116 doi:10.1002/bjs.5593
- Rahbari NN, Zimmermann JB, Schmidt T, Koch M, Weigand MA, Weitz J. Meta-analysis of standard, restrictive and supplemental fluid administration in colorectal surgery. Br J Surg. 2009;96:331-41. Medline:19283742 doi:10.1002/bjs.6552
- 30 Lobo DN, Bostock KA, Neal KR, Perkins AC, Rowlands BJ, Allison SP. Effect of salt and water balance on recovery of gastrointestinal function after elective colonic resection: A randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2002;359:1812-8. Medline:12044376 doi:10.1016/

296 CLINICAL SCIENCE Croat Med J. 2015;56:290-6

#### 50140-6736(02)08711-1

- 31 Holte K, Foss NB, Andersen J, Valentiner L, Lund C, Bie P, et al. Liberal or restrictive fluid administration in fasttrack colonic surgery: a randomized, double-blind study. Br J Anaesth. 2007;99:500-8. Medline:17681972 doi:10.1093/bja/aem211
- 32 Kabon B, Akca O, Taguchi A, Nagele A, Jebadurai R, Arkilic CF, et al. Supplemental intravenous crystalloid administration does not reduce the risk of surgical wound infection. Anesth Analg. 2005;101:1546-53. Medline:16244030 doi:10.1213/01. ANE.0000180217.57952.FE
- 33 Holte K, Kristensen BB, Valentiner L, Foss NB, Husted H, Kehlet H. Liberal versus restrictive fluid management in knee arthro in knee arthroplasty: a randomized, double-blind study. Anesth Analg. 2007;105:465-74. Medline:17646507 doi:10.1213/01. ane.0000263268.08222.19
- 34 Benumof JL. Isoflurane anesthesia and arterial oxygenation during one-lung ventilation. Anesthesiology. 1986;64:419-22. Medline:3963449 doi:10.1097/00000542-198604000-00001
- 35 Ray JF III, Yost L, Moallem S, Sanoudos GM, Villamena P, Paredes RM, et al. Immobility, hypoxemia, and pulmonary arteriovenous shunting. Arch Surg. 1974;109:537-41. Medline:4413775 doi:10.1001/archsurg.1974.01360040055014

- 36 Levy EM, Viscoli CM, Horwitz RI. The effect of acute renal failure on mortality: a cohort analysis. JAMA. 1996;275:1489-94.
  Medline:8622223 doi:10.1001/jama.1996.03530430033035
- 37 Sear JW. Kidney dysfunction in the postoperative period. BJA. 2005;95:20-32. Medline:15531622 doi:10.1093/bja/aei018
- 38 Godet G, Fleron MH, Vicaut E, Zubicki A, Bertrand M, Riou B, et al. Risk factors for acute postoperative renal failure in thoracic and thoracoabdominal aortic surgery: a prospective study. Anesth Analg. 1997;85:1227-32. Medline:9390585
- 39 Bakker J, Nijsten MW, Jansen TC. Clinical use of lactate monitoring in critically ill patients. Ann of Intesive Care. 2013;3:12. Medline:23663301 doi:10.1186/2110-5820-3-12
- 40 Cain SM. Appearance of excess lactate in anesthetized dogs during anemic and hypoxic hypoxia. Am J Physiol. 1965;209:604-10. Medline:5837745
- 41 Zhang H, Vincent JL. Oxygen extraction is altered by endotoxin during tamponade- induced stagnant hypoxia in the dog. Circ Shock. 1993;40:168-76. Medline:8348680