

DISSERTATION EVALUATION REPORT GUIDELINES

Names of the Expert Committee members:

Candidate's name:

Topic:

Doctoral Dissertation Evaluation:

Postgraduate study program: (the title of program):

TO THE FACULTY COUNCIL OF THE SCHOOL OF MEDICINE IN SPLIT

At the session held on (day)_____, the Faculty Council of the School of Medicine in Split appointed the Expert Committee (hereinafter: the Committee) of the following composition to evaluate the dissertation titled: _____
_____ by the candidate _____:

1. _____ (the Chair)
2. _____
3. _____

After having carefully reviewed the submitted dissertation, the Committee submits the following

REPORT

1. DISSERTATION DESCRIPTION

Bibliographic information (number of pages, figures, tables, and literature data) should be provided, followed by a short description of the rationale and aim of the research, research methods and design (sample size!), results, and conclusions – up to 2000 characters with spaces.

2. EVALUATION OF INDIVIDUAL PARTS OF DISSERTATION

Evaluated elements:

Introduction – Literature data are current and selected in a critical and unbiased manner.

Research problem and aim – Logically presented and clearly defined.

Methods – Appropriate to the aim of the research study, reliable and reproducible. A special focus should be put on the appropriateness of statistical methods.

Results – Well-presented and trustworthy (with respect to statistical analysis, group sizes, presentation – numerical and/or graphic, with dispersion measures).

Discussion – Logical and critical interpretation of one's own results in the context of existing knowledge. The Discussion should not repeat the results!

Conclusions - Logical, critical, and concise.

Summary – Faithfully reflects the entire work.

References – Accurately cited.

Evaluate if the dissertation is written according to the proposal and list the elements that may deviate from the proposal.

3. SHORTCOMINGS OF THE DISSERTATION

List possible shortcomings, assess their impact on the quality of the dissertation and, in case of minor shortcomings, suggest the revisions for the final version of the dissertation, if possible.

4. SCIENTIFIC CONTRIBUTION OF THE DISSERTATION

Explicitly describe the scientific contribution of the dissertation.

5. OPINION AND RECOMMENDATION

1. Along with the short description of the quality and shortcomings of the dissertation, recommend whether the dissertation titled _____ by the candidate _____ is to be accepted, returned for revision, or rejected.

2. Specify that it meets the requirements for dissertation defense pursuant to Article of the Regulations on University Postgraduate Studies and Doctoral Graduation Process (list the Current Contents-indexed journals in which the articles were published and confirm that the topic of the articles is related to the candidate's dissertation topic).

Signatures of the Expert Committee members: